

# **Internal Audit**

Progress Report 2015-16 – Quarter 2

**Caroline Glitre, Head of Internal Audit** 

### Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Schools Audit Approach	
3. Final Reports Issued	
4. Key Financial Systems – Continuous Audit Methodology (CAM)	
5. Key Findings from Internal Audit Work with Limited assurance	6
6. Advisory reviews for management purposes	9
7. Work in progress	9
8. Implementation of Internal Audit recommendations	
9. Internal Audit effectiveness review	20
10. Changes to our plan	21
11. Risk Management	

#### 1. Introduction

The Internal Audit Plan was accepted by the Audit Committee on the 30th April 2015. This report follows the principles previously requested by the Committee, in that all audit reports with limited or no assurance will be summarised into key messages with some detail.

### 2. Schools Audit Approach

As reported to the last Audit Committee, in conjunction with the Education & Skills team we have developed the audit approach to schools in order to give independent assurance over key non-financial risks. We are currently undertaking a pilot of those additional audit areas at schools being visited in the Autumn Term. The additional areas are:

- Pupil Premium
- Safeguarding
- Governance
- Anti-Fraud

As part of the communication with schools around the pilot, we have informed them of the new approach to follow-up audits of schools in that we will visit schools to confirm that any Priority 1 recommendations have been implemented, rather than relying on a confirmation being received from the Chair of Governors.

Follow-up audit visits have been booked for St. Andrews and Fairway schools in November to confirm the new controls introduced in September are operating effectively in practice.

As agreed at the last Audit Committee, schools have also been made aware that any Limited or No Assurance audit reports will now be published on the Council's website in line with other audits.

#### 3. Final Reports Issued

This report covers the period from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2015 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2015 and represents an up to date picture of the work in progress to that date. The Internal Audit service has over this period issued 23 reports in accordance with the 2015-16 Internal Audit Plan. In summary, the assurance ratings provided were as follows:

Substantial	1
Satisfactory	15

Limited	1
No	0
N/A	6
Total	23

	Table 1: 2015-16 work completed during quarter 2 including ass	urance levels
	Systems Audits	Assurance
1	Schools Improvement	Substantial
2	Accounts Receivable	Satisfactory
3	General Ledger	Satisfactory
4	Schools Payroll	Satisfactory
5	Housing Benefit	Satisfactory
6	Council Tax	Satisfactory
7	NNDR	Satisfactory
8	Regeneration – Brent Cross	Satisfactory
9	Risk Management Framework	Satisfactory
10	Transformation Q1	Satisfactory
	Contract Management Toolkit Compliance – Follow-Up and	
11	Premier Partnerships	Satisfactory
12	Contract Management – Homecare	Limited
	Advisory Reviews	Assurance
13	Advisory Reviews  Carbon Reduction Commitment	Assurance N/A
13		
13	Carbon Reduction Commitment	N/A
	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants	N/A <b>A</b> ssurance
14	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant	N/A Assurance N/A
14 15	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant	N/A Assurance N/A N/A
14 15 16	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A
14 15 16 17	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant  Pothole Fund Grant	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A N/A
14 15 16 17	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant  Pothole Fund Grant  Troubled Families Payment By Results Q2	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
14 15 16 17 18	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant  Pothole Fund Grant  Troubled Families Payment By Results Q2  School Audits	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A ASsurance
14 15 16 17 18	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant  Pothole Fund Grant  Troubled Families Payment By Results Q2  School Audits  Martin Primary	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A SASsurance Satisfactory
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Carbon Reduction Commitment  Grants  Transforming Care Grant  Disabled Facilities Grant  Bus Subsidy Grant  Pothole Fund Grant  Troubled Families Payment By Results Q2  School Audits  Martin Primary  Pavilion Pupil Referral Unit (PRU)	N/A Assurance N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Solution N/A Solution Satisfactory Satisfactory

The summary detail of those reports issued as Limited assurance is included within section 5.

### 4. Key Financial Systems – Continuous Audit Methodology (CAM)

In 2014/15 we introduced a new approach to auditing the Council's key financial systems – the Continuous Audit Methodology. This means that we test the operating effectiveness of the same key controls and can therefore report a clear Direction of Travel against those systems.

A summary of the outcome of the 2015/16 Q2 reviews completed to date is below. This clearly shows improvement across the key financial systems audited this quarter.

Department	Overall Opi 2015/16	Overall Opi 2014/15	Direction of Travel	Number of controls tested	Controls who exceptions	ere operating were found		design ns found	Comments
					2015/16	2014/15	2015/16	2014/15	
Accounts Receivables	Satisfactory	Limited	1	7	1	3	1*	2	*Control design issue not fully resolved since prior period
General Ledger	Satisfactory	Limited		4	1	3	0	1	
Schools Payroll	Satisfactory	N/A	N/A	7	1	N/A	0	N/A	In 2014/15 looked at Non-Schools Payroll therefore no comparative data
Council Tax	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	1	7	1	0	0	1	
Housing Benefit	Satisfactory	Limited	1	8	1	4	1*	1	*Control design issue not fully resolved since prior period
NNDR	Satisfactory	Limited	1	7	1	0	1*	2	*Control design issue unresolved since prior period

# **5. Key Findings from Internal Audit Work with Limited assurance**

Title	Contract Management Too	lkit compliance - Homeca	re			
Audit Opinion	No	Limited	Satisfactory	Substantial		
Date of report:	August 2015					
Background & Context	In November 2014 the Council introduced a corporate approach to managing contracts, which included the production of a contract management toolkit and templates, supported by contract management training sessions for key staff members.  We reviewed a sample of contracts including two of the contracts for Home Care (Enablement and MiHomecare), managed by Adults & Communities. For the 2014 calendar year the Enablement contract spend was approximately £1.5m and MiHomecare was £2m.					
Summary of Findings	• <b>Risk and Issue Management</b> - we identified areas where the Home Care contracts risk and issue management controls should be improved. We found that the contracts did not contain a risk and issue management process, which set out how the Council and the suppliers intended to manage and escalate risks or issues. In addition, risks and issues in relation to the contracts were not formally documented in risk or issue registers or the Council's risk management system (Priority 1).					
<ul> <li>Stakeholder Management - we found that a stakeholder communications plan had not been of required by the Council's Contract Management Manual, to ensure that stakeholders are identifications plan had not been of the communications plan had not been dependent plan had not been dependent plan had not been dependen</li></ul>						

# Priority 1 recommendations, management responses and agreed action dates

## 1. Risk and Issue Management

Re	commendation	Management Response	Responsible Officer	Deadline
ŕ	A risk and issue management process should be introduced for both the Enablement and MiHomecare contracts to ensure that risks and issues are consistently and effectively recorded, monitored, escalated and resolved in a timely manner.  Management should utilise the risk register template within the Contract Toolkit and ensure that Enablement and MiHomecare risks are recorded, assessed and mitigated.	Though on-going review of contract risk was undertaken by the contract manager and escalated as appropriate to senior management, it is acknowledged that this was not formalised appropriately and did not meet corporate standards. Both the structure of the Care Quality Service, staff absence and resourcing issues continued to negatively impact on the ability of the service to adequately manage contract risk within the agreed framework.	Head of Care Quality, Adults & Communities	31 <sup>st</sup> August 2015
c)	This information should then be regularly monitored and updated.  Management should utilise the issue register template within the Contract Toolkit and ensure that Enablement and MiHomecare issues are recorded, monitored and managed. This information	Following the restructure of the service, a comprehensive programme of recruitment and the appointment in May of the new Head of Service, the category teams are now undertaking a roll out of the Corporate contract management framework and monitoring toolkit on a phased basis.		30 <sup>th</sup> Sept 2015
d)	should then be regularly monitored and updated.  Management should consider whether these recommendations are applicable to the other Home Care contracts.	The service works with more than 400 contracts and will be focusing initially on the key strategic and critical contracts. Toolkits are currently being completed for both MiHomecare and Housing and Care 21.		Completed
		Further training has been commissioned for		

September to embed the approach and test the understanding of staff who are all currently completely toolkits for key services.	3	31 <sup>st</sup> Oct 2015
Risk is now a standing item at all contract meetings and will be recorded appropriately within the contract toolkit with risk and issue management clearly documented.		
Service Mangers will be working through completed toolkits in staff supervision and escalating to the Head of Service for sign off.		

### 6. Advisory reviews for management purposes

There was one advisory review undertaken by internal audit that does not give an assurance rating but none the less aids management in assessing the design and effectiveness of their control environment. If a significant issue has been identified or a Priority 1 recommendation made as part of these reviews further detail is provided within this progress report below. Priority 1 recommendations are followed up in line with the Audit Committee's standard follow-up process.

Any potential independence threats have been managed when undertaking these reviews in that the staff involved in the reviews have not audited / will not audit the area concerned for at least 12 months before or after the advisory work.

	Advisory Reviews	
1	Carbon Reduction Commitment	No significant issues noted

#### 7. Work in progress

The following work is in progress at the time of writing this report:

Tab	Table 2: Work in progress				
	Systems Audits	Status			
1	Accounts Payable	Draft Report			
2	Financial Assessments (joint with CAFT)	Draft Report			
3	Shared Legal Service – Clienting and Governance	Draft Report			
4	Information Security - Cyber Risk (joint with CAFT)	Draft Report			
5	Procurement – Compliance with Contract Procedure Rules (CPRs)	Draft Report			
6	Projects & Programmes: Capital Projects - Development Pipeline	Draft Report			
7	CSG Invoicing / Gain Share Agreements	Fieldwork			
8	S75 Agreements (including Better Care Fund)	Fieldwork			
9	Foster Carer and Adoption Payments	Fieldwork			
	Data Quality spot checks - Q2 - Average customer wait time (face				
10	to face at Burnt Oak and Barnet House)	Fieldwork			
11	Street Scene Operations Review (joint with CAFT)	Fieldwork			
12	Disaster Recovery Plan	Planning			
13	Highways Expenditure	Planning			
14	Client Affairs	Planning			
15	Schemes of Delegation	Planning			
16	IT Strategy	Planning			
17	Parking Permit Administration (joint with CAFT)	Planning			
	Schools Audits				
17	Northgate Pupil Referral Unit (PRU)	Fieldwork			

## 8. Implementation of Internal Audit recommendations

## Quarter 2, 2015-16: Priority 1 Recommendations due

### Code to ratings:

Shading	Rating	Explanation
	Implemented	The recommendation that had previously been raised as a priority one has been reviewed and was considered
		implemented.
	Partly	Aspects of the priority one recommendation had been implemented however not considered implemented in full.
	Implemented	
	Not Implemented	There had been no progress made in implementing this priority one recommendation.

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
Recommendation	Area		2015)
1. SWIFT & Wisdom	Head of	As part of the Information	Partly Implemented
	Information	Management Strategy, we are	As part of the Investing in IT project the Delivery Unit
Access to case information on	Management	implementing a project to look at	decided to move away from Wisdom as the primary
Wisdom should be restricted	July 2014	underlying problems with	document management system as the new Mosaic
according to business need.		Wisdom and to evaluate its	system will have a document storage function.
	Revised to July	business purpose. We will look at	However, Wisdom will continue to be used to store
	2015	the access controls in Wisdom at	historic file information which will be accessed
		this point.	via URL from Mosaic. The browser will be locked to a
			particular client, from this point the user can be
			restricted from navigating to other cases.
			As at October 2015 the exact design of the new

Audit Title and Recommendation	Responsible Area	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October 2015)  system had not yet been signed off to confirm that this is no longer a risk, therefore considered Partly Implemented.  To revisit progress in Q3
Invoice Request Forms  Invoice requests should be implemented and authorised before being issued. Due to the large amount of invoices issued, a scheme of delegation of authority could be set up so that invoices could be authorised on a hierarchal basis depending on value.	Assistant Director of Finance, Customer and Support Group (CSG) March 2015  Revised to 31 July 2015	The functionality of Integra is being re-configured to include a threshold above which sales invoices will automatically require approval.	Partly Implemented We confirmed that CSG's proposal to implement the change to the functionality to Integra was approved by the Council in March 2015.  In March 2015, we also confirmed that feasibility work was undertaken and it was deemed better value for money to undertake the activity alongside the planned upgrade to Integra which was planned in July 2015.  In September 2015, we were informed that this has not yet been implemented as part of the system upgrade to the system that went live during August 2015. The development has been scheduled for November 2015 and will be agreed by the Integra User Group in November to roll out to the business within the live system.  Revised Implementation date: November 2015
3. Grant Income	Commissioning	Across commissioning portfolios	Partly Implemented

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
Recommendation	Area		2015)
		(in commissioning group or Delivery units) grants databases will be maintained which evidence horizon scanning, at least once every quarter. Evidence may include communication with relevant central government departments or the use of grant finder. 'Invest to save' options will also be explored, for example the possibility of engaging an appropriate grants finding company.	An email was sent out to all Directors in September laying out all the new processes for grant income. This included a flow chart and a word document which documents Directors' decisions.  Access to Grant Finder has been made available and a member of CSG finance team will now be horizon scanning and contacting delegated staff members in Delivery Units of the Council when any grants are made available. It has been made clear that this arrangement is not intended to duplicate or supersede existing good practices which Commissioning Directors are expected to continue.  A document has been designed which is completed
	Supported by Finance (Commissioning Group) September 2015		by the service which will record if the decision is being taken forward or not. This will be signed off by SMT and then sent to CSG for the Head of Finance to challenge.  A process has been designed where the services will have to document if they are taking a grant application forward. This will then be reviewed by the Head of Finance as a critical friend.  At the time of the follow-up CSG had not received any completed External Grant / Funding Opportunity

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
Recommendation	Area		2015)
			forms therefore we were unable to confirm whether
			the new control is yet operating in practice.
			Management Agreements had already been agreed for this financial year at the time of the audit. However, it will be raised with Commissioning Directors that 2016/17 agreements for next year should be updated to acknowledge the need to review grant options.  Revised deadline 31/3/16
4. People Management – Pre-	Lead Human	Revised policy and guidelines	Partly Implemented
employment Checks	Resources	were submitted to Workforce	, p
. ,	Consultant	Board (WFB) 10 <sup>th</sup> June for 30 day	a) Safer Recruitment Guidance was approved by the
Safer Recruitment Training &		consultation. If no further	Workforce Board on 18th August 2015. It is going to
Guidance	Human	consultation required the policy	the Strategic Commissioning Board (SCB) for final
	Resources	and guidelines will be approved	approval by the Council's statutory officers on 27 <sup>th</sup>
a) The revised Safer	Operations	and released. These will be	October 2015.
Recruitment guidance	Director, CSG	placed on the intranet with	
should be formalised		briefing sessions arranged as	b) & c) It was agreed at the DBS Strategy meeting
and made available to	Human	required – it has been noted that	held on 16th July 2015 that formal training would not
all Line Managers within	Resources	this is a formal recommendation	be made available but that briefing notes would be
the Council following	Operational	and therefore further discussion	written / approved and placed on the intranet with a
formal approval by the	Manager, CSG	will take place with the client to	communication sent out to all Managers.
Workforce Board in		determine requirements.	
August 2015.	31 August 2015	Many of the managers have	Further Action for full implementation

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
Recommendation	Area		2015)
<ul> <li>b) Human Resources should develop training on the new guidance.</li> <li>c) All Line Managers within the Council should be mandated to attend a formal briefing on the new guidance to ensure they fully understand their role and responsibilities.</li> </ul>		raised concerns (either through WFB or independently) in relation to the guidance and applying consistent methodology to determining which posts do or do not require checks. With this in mind Capita intend to propose to the client the introduction of a new DBS consistency forum with representation from each DU; the intention being that the forum will debate requirements for posts where there is any uncertainty with the aim to ensure consistent application of requirements against posts across the DU's.	The Safer Recruitment Policy and Guidance needs to be compared against contract before they can be placed on the intranet and briefing notes finalised.  Revised implementation date: 30/11/15
		WFB also requested that an appendix of posts requiring/not requiring checks was developed, this has been considered since the last WFB but further discussion will be required with the client to establish how this would work in practice.  A formal meeting will be set up for discussions between client	

Audit Title and Recommendation	Responsible Area	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October 2015)
		strategy, client assurance, client safeguarding and Capita to determine the next steps.	
5. People Management – Pre- employment Checks  Monitoring of HCPC Registration of Social Workers	Lead Human Resources Consultant Human	The case identified as being non- compliant will be raised with the DU Director and a risk assessment will be undertaken, with the appropriate decision	a) The service's review of the case identified that the individual did hold HCPC registration however it was held under her maiden name. Since the audit
a) Management should complete the risk assessment process for the case where HCPC registration could not be confirmed and ensure that it is appropriate for them to	Resources Operations Director, CSG  Human Resources Operational Manager, CSG	being made by the DU Director as to whether that employee should have HCPC registration or be supervised (or other alternative action taken) whilst registration is being obtained.  A review is currently underway	validation visit the HR Operations Manager has additionally followed up with the individual's manager and made her aware that when this person's HCPC is due for renewal that they should update their details with HCPC.  b) Confirmation seen that HCPC and DBS details are now held in CORE.
remain in post.  b) The Council should consider whether to introduce a requirement for all social workers to provide evidence of HCPC registration.  c) Management should agree	31 July 2015	for all employees whose role requires HCPC registration and those found to be non-compliant will be addressed as above.  A process will be written and submitted to WFB for consultation and approval for the monitoring with guidance notes which will include a requirement for all social workers to provide	c) & d) HCPC renewal reminders are sent directly to the registrant by HCPC 3, 2 and 1 month ahead of expiry. Reporting on CORE two weeks prior to the expiry date (if not renewed) will highlight that HR needs to contact the relevant Line Manager and request a risk assessment on the employee.  e) The Engagement and Communications plan has not been rolled out yet it is in progress and will be
a clear procedure for the		evidence of HCPC registration.	made available on the intranet once the Safer

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
monitoring of HCPC registration, clarifying the respective responsibilities of Adults & Communities, Family Services and Human Resources.  d) The Council should consider how to formally monitor HCPC registration, including the expiry date of all social worker registration. Management should continue to develop the functionality of CORE to support this process. If relevant, reminders should be sent to all social workers when a registration is due to expire.	Area	This will be cross referenced with an HCPC website check. Once document is approved it will be placed on the intranet and briefing sessions held as appropriate  A decision will need to be made as to where the responsibility rests for monitoring registration going forward. A formal meeting will be set up for discussions between client strategy, client assurance, client safeguarding and Capita to facilitate this discussion. Irrespective of where the responsibility lies CORE is currently being developed to record and provide management information to support this process.	Recruitment Policy and Guidance details have gone through the final check against contract.  Further Action for full implementation  The Engagement and Communications plan will be rolled out and be made available on the intranet once the Safer Recruitment Policy and Guidance details have gone through the final check against contract.  Revised implementation date: 30/11/15
e) The Council should produce an Engagement and Communications Plan to communicate any new monitoring procedures to ensure social workers are aware of their responsibility		Work is already underway to develop CORE to store information relating to both DBS and HCPC. This work is currently in test phase with the aim to transfer data from manual spreadsheets to the system in	

Audit Title and	Responsible	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October
Recommendation	Area		2015)
to provide timely evidence		July 2015.	
of registration.		Reminders for Social Workers will	
		be considered alongside the	
		discussion regarding	
		responsibility for monitoring in	
		the meeting described above.	
		Implementation of this process	
		will follow in due course.	
		Engagement and communication	
		for all Social Workers will form	
		part of the plan addressed in the	
		meeting described above.	
		Consideration and approval of	
		this guidance will need to be	
		discussed as well as the	
		communication methodology.	
		Implementation of this process	
		to follow in due course.	
		The meeting described above will	
		be critical in informing what	
		action should be taken by the	
		Council to investigate Social	
		Workers who fail to provide	
		relevant evidence. Outcomes of	
		this discussion will form part of	
		the guidance and engagement	

Audit Title and Recommendation	Responsible Area	Response from Management for managers and employees	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October 2015)
6. People Management – Preemployment Checks  Accuracy and Completeness of Vetting Information	Lead Human Resources Consultant  Human Resources Operations Director, CSG  Human Resources Operational Manager, CSG  31 July 2015	The review of information held in CORE is currently underway. DU's are already undertaking an exercise to review whether a position requires a DBS check or HCPC registration as previously stated. Where there is uncertainty this will be reviewed through the DBS Consistency Forum described above.  Data collated is being referenced back to establishment data in CORE and data is currently being prepared to complete test uploads within week commencing 29th June 15. The aim will be to have this recorded against live records in early July.  Any gaps in information once data is loaded will either be addressed through the DBS consistency forum or raised with Managers as gaps.  The Establishment Control	Partly Implemented  a) All DBS and HCPC information is now held in CORE.  b) Where posts have been identified as requiring DBS/HCPC checks these are underway and are ongoing.  c) Establishment control forms are now in use across the business including a Movers Form to control movement of staff and capture the requirements of the post. The version of the form seen highlights the need to consider DBS checks and HCPC registration requirements in relation to the post and requires specific line manager completion. These updated forms will be embedded via Engagement and Communications channels.  Further Action for full implementation  DBS/HCPC checks required as a result of gaps identified to have all been completed and appropriate action on the outcomes taken where applicable.

Audit Title and Recommendation	Responsible Area	Response from Management	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October 2015)
		Movers form has already been updated to capture the requirements of the post and the incoming employee. The aim will be for this to trigger the operations team to begin the process for upgrading if required and current certification doesn't already trump the requirements of the post. These updated forms will be embedded via Engagement and Communications channels.	Revised implementation date: 30/11/15

Audit Title and Recommendation	Audit Assessment for Audit Committee (October 2015)
7. Permanency Routes	Implemented
Permanency process and control - Records management and	
documentation retention	
8. Trade Waste Invoicing	Implemented
Approval and Allocation of Credit Notes	
9. Data Quality Re KPI 2.2	Implemented
Compliance with definition	

#### 9. Internal Audit effectiveness review

We have met our targets within the plan with the exception of one indicator being rated Red and one being rated Amber.

Implementation of internal audit recommendations — as per section 7 above, the progress of the 9 high priority recommendations due for implementation in quarter 2 is that 33% of recommendations have been fully implemented compared to a target of 90%. 67% have been partly implemented.

A summary of the status is as follows:

Status	Number	%	
Implemented	3	33%	
Partly Implemented	6	67%	
Not Implemented	0	0%	
Total	9	100	

Performance Indicator	Target	End of Quarter 1
% of plan delivered	46%*	43%
Number of reviews due to commence vs.	95%	100%
commenced in quarter		
% of reports year to date achieving:	N/A	
<ul> <li>Substantial</li> </ul>		9%
<ul> <li>Satisfactory</li> </ul>		54%
Limited		11%
No Assurance		0%
• N/A		26%
Number / % of Priority 1 recommendations:		
Implemented	90%**	33%
Partly implemented		
Not implemented		
in quarter when due		

<sup>\*</sup> Based on 95% complete of those due in quarter. Performance of <10% under target considered Amber; performance of >10% under target considered Red.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Performance of 50-89% considered Amber; performance <50% considered Red.

### 10. Changes to our plan

Since the Internal Audit Plan was approved agreed in April 2015 there have been changes as follows:

Туре	Audit Title	Reasons
Deferred	SEN Follow-Up - Education Healthcare Plans (EHC)	Deferred due to delays with Alternative Delivery Model (ADM) for Education & Skills and fact that 2014/15 SEN audit finalised in Q4
Deferred	Schemes of Delegation	As agreed with management due to additional changes to Schemes needed after Commissioning Council re-structure
Deferred	IT Strategy	Deferred due to changes in key personnel
Deferred	Internal Governance: Alternative Delivery Models	Reviews already conducted during year of HB Public Law (shared service model) and CSG (outsourced model). Therefore Q2 review deferred to Q4 when can review Re (Joint Venture model) Invoicing / Gain Share Agreements.
Combined	Procurement – Conflict Management	Included within scope of Procurement – Compliance with CPRs audit
Additional	Schools Payroll	Split out Schools Payroll from wider planned Key Financial Systems audit of Payroll
Additional	Teachers Pensions	Split out Teachers Pensions from wider planned Key Financial Systems audit of Pensions
Additional	Disabled Facilities Grant	Last minute notification from service that Internal Audit sign off required

### 11. Risk Management

The final performance report for Quarter 1 was presented to the Performance and Contract Monitoring Committee on 1<sup>st</sup> September 2015 and can be found via the link below:

http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=13723#mgDocuments

Appendix I to the report is the Quarter 1 corporate risk register: <a href="http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s25437/Appendix%20I%20-%20Corporate%20Risk%20Register.pdf">http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s25437/Appendix%20I%20-%20Corporate%20Risk%20Register.pdf</a>

Quarter 2 performance, including the corporate risk register, will go to the November meeting of the Performance and Contract Monitoring Committee.